

EERA JP ESI Workshop on Digitalisation and Energy Data Spaces

Henrik Madsen
DTU Compute


(IFD projects: FED + IoT Annex + Cool Data)
(EU projects: syn.ikia + ELEXIA + ARV + ebalance-plus + CitCom.ar)



Some European and International Activities



EU Report on Data Spaces




OPENDEI
ENERGY DOMAIN

DATA SPACES FOR ENERGY, HOME AND MOBILITY

Dognini, Alberto, Challagonda, Chandra, Maqueda Moro, Erik, Helmholt, Kristian, Madsen, Henrik, Daniele, Laura, Schmitt, Laurent, Genest, Olivier, Riemenschneider, Rolf, Böhm, Robert, Ebrahimi, Razgar, Temal, Lynda, Calvez, Philippe, & Ben Abbes, Sarra. (2022). Data Spaces for Energy, Home and Mobility (1.07). Zenodo. <https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.7193318>




European and International Initiatives on Smart Energy Systems



- Digitalisation of Energy Action Plan (DoEAP)
- Data Spaces for Energy Systems
- Digitalisation and DG CNECT
- Key elements mentioned in EU and UN reports:
 - Minimum Interoperability Mechanisms (MIMs)
 - Some MIMs for energy systems:
 - **Flexibility Functions**, Digital Twins, Data Spaces, Shared Data Models, Transparent AI
 - New market structures (using also control theory)
- UN Deliverable on “Redefining smart city platforms: Setting the stage for Minimal Interoperability Mechanisms” has been published.

Please find the deliverable here: <https://www.itu.int/en/publications/Documents/tsb/2022-U4SSC-Redefining-smart-cityplatforms/index.html#p=1>





Trusted Data Sharing Platform

Data Exchange Facilities Market provide neutral (infrastructure and rules) mechanisms in the background for controlled, trusted and secure data transactions.

Participants accepting the market rules benefit from the exchange mechanisms and shape together an open market for data.



This is how we work together

Digitalization and Markets for Energy Systems Integration




Energy Systems Integration

Energy System Integration (ESI) can increase grid flexibility by increasing connections with other energy domains

Customer

City

Region



Electricity



Thermal



Fuel



Water



Data




Transport



“Energy Systems Integration: Defining and Describing the Value Proposition”, O’Malley, Kroposki, Hannegan, Madsen, Andersson, D’haeseleer, McGranaghan, Dent, Strbac, Baskaran, Rinker., NREL/TP-5D00-66616. June 2016

NREL | 17

The Challenge: Denmark Fossil Free 2050



DTU Elektro
3,658 followers
2w • Edited •

+ Follow

DIGITAL FOUNDATION OF FUTURE ENERGY NEEDED Read contribution in [Altinget.dk](#) by [Jacob Østergaard](#), Professor, [DTU Elektro](#) and [Henrik Madsen](#), Professor and Head of Department, [DTU Compute](#): Research holds the key to the future of green energy systems, but the national focus needs to be on the digital operating system that will connect it all.

Read here: <https://lnkd.in/eemjyNfQ>

[#DTUdk](#) [#energysystems](#) [#dkgreen](#) [#dkenergi](#) [#renewableenergy](#)



Digital foundation of future energy needed - DTU

elektro.dtu.dk • 4 min read

Rethinking Electricity Markets

EMR 2.0: a new phase of innovation-friendly and consumer-focused electricity market design reform

Rethinking Electricity Markets is an Energy Systems Catapult initiative to develop proposals to reform electricity markets so that they best enable innovative, efficient, whole energy system decarbonisation.



Laurent Schmitt • 1st
Head of Utilities & European Developments at dcbel & President at Digital4Grids
9h •

Some interesting reading. The accelerated introduction of [#DER](#) - PV, storage, [#V2G](#) - across congested grid systems in Europe requires open and transparent [#flexibility](#) price discovery where nodal optimisations are without any doubt the most accurate and efficient to use for grid real-time congestion and redispatch management. Looking forward next regulatory developments



Sarah Keay-Bright FEI FRSA MEng • 2nd
Energy policy expert and strategist
9h • Edited •

Just released! - the latest [Energy Systems Catapult](#) report - "Introducing Nodal Pricing to the GB Power Market to Drive Innovation for Consumers' Benefit: Why now and How?" - lays out the case for nodal pricing in the GB power market as the first-best approach to signalling locational value in a more deeply decarbonised, decentralised, and digitised electricity system. We are calling on [Department for Business, Energy and Industrial Strategy \(BEIS\)](#) and [Ofgem](#) to require [National Grid ESO](#) to commission a detailed study on the introduction of nodal pricing in the GB power market, encompassing an assessment of the cost benefit case and the implementation and transition practicalities.

See report here: <https://lnkd.in/gshYuyyg>

The escalating redispatch costs for the congested GB power system are inefficient and unsustainable. Our view is that the GB market should transition directly to nodal pricing and not via zonal pricing given experience in the US, Australia and Europe. It could be introduced right away at transmission level, providing a more efficient alternative to network charges (TNUoS); over time it can be moved down to lower voltage levels.

Yes, there will be distributional impacts to manage and some incumbents and consumers may need temporary support during the transition, but the overall net benefits for consumers will likely significantly outweigh the downsides given the



Digitalisation in Grids

Focus Areas

		Assets			Loadflow			Power Quality			
		Customer assets	LV	MV	HV	LV	MV	HV	LV	MV	HV
Registering (static data)											
Monitoring											
based on historical data	markets										
real time monitoring	markets										
predictive monitoring	markets										
Control											
Manual control	markets										
Automated control	markets										
Implicit control (tariffs)	markets										

Priority focus ■

figure 5; focus areas of investments on digitalisation in grids

Local Flexibility Markets vs Classical Markets

- Static -> **Dynamic**
- Deterministic -> **Stochastic**
- Linear -> **Nonlinear**
- Many power related services (voltage, frequency, balancing, spinning reserve, congestion, ...) -> **Coordination + Hierarchy**
- Speed / problem size -> **Decomposition + Control Based Solutions**
- Characterization of flexibility (bids) -> **Flexibility Functions**
- Requirements on user installations -> **One-way communication**
- Markets for Energy Systems Integration -> **Price-based solution**




Space of Solutions

Flexibility

(enabled by **AI, Digital Twins, Communication, IoT**)


Grids



Batteries


Data-driven Digital Twins for Real Time Applications

Grey-box models are simplified Digital Twin models facilitating system integration and use of sensor data in real-time




Temporal and Spatial Coherency

A so-called **Smart-Energy Operating-System (SE-OS)** is developed in order to develop, implement and test solutions (layers: data, models, optimization, control, communication) for **operating flexible electrical energy systems at all scales**.




EU Report: Smart-Energy OS

The Transformative Power of Digitalization



Proposed methodology

Control-based methodology



$$\min_p \quad \mathbb{E} \left[\sum_{k=0}^N w_{j,k} \|\hat{z}_k - z_{ref,k}\| + \mu \|p_k - p_{ref,k}\| \right]$$

$$\text{s.t.} \quad \hat{z}_{k+1} = f(p_k)$$

We adopt a control-based approach where the **price** becomes the driver to **manipulate** the behaviour of a certain pool flexible prosumers.

$$\min_u \quad \mathbb{E} \left[\sum_{k=0}^N \sum_{j=1}^J \phi_j(x_{j,k}, u_{j,k}, p_k) \right]$$

$$\text{s.t.} \quad x_{k+1} = Ax_k + Bu_k + Ed_k,$$

$$y_k = Cx_k,$$

$$y_k^{\min} \leq y_k \leq y_k^{\max},$$

$$u_k^{\min} \leq u_k \leq u_k^{\max}$$




Direct vs Indirect Control

Level	Direct Control (DC)	Indirect Control (IC)
III	$\min_{x,u} \sum_{k=0}^N \sum_{j=1}^J \phi_j(x_{j,k}, u_{j,k})$	$\min_{\hat{z}, p} \sum_{k=0}^N \phi(\hat{z}_k, p_k)$ $\text{s.t. } \hat{z}_{k+1} = f(p_k)$
IV	$\downarrow u_1 \dots \downarrow u_J \quad \uparrow x_1 \dots \uparrow x_J$ $\text{s.t. } x_{j,k+1} = f_j(x_{j,k}, u_{j,k}) \quad \forall j \in J$	$\min_u \sum_{k=0}^N \phi_j(p_k, u_k) \quad \forall j \in J$ $\text{s.t. } x_{k+1} = f_j(x_k, u_k)$

Table 1: Comparison between direct (DC) and indirect (IC) control methods. (DC) In direct control the optimization is globally solved at level III. Consequently the optimal control signals u_j are sent to all the J DER units at level IV. (IC) In indirect control the optimization at level III computes the optimal prices p which are sent to the J -units at level IV. Hence the J DERs optimize their own energy consumption taking into account p as the actual price of energy.




The 'market' of tomorrow



Energy Systems Integration

Smart-Energy OS for multi-supply systems (here DH and Electricity)




Case study

Flexibility Functions as the Fundamental MIM for Linking Markets to the Physics



Flexibility Function

The **Flexibility Function (FF)** is a MIMs for energy systems used to characterize flexibility and providing an interface between local and high-level markets



Flexible Users and Penalty Signals

Penalty Generator for, e.g.:

Voltage Control,
Balancing,
Congestion Management
...

Reference

**Penalty Generator
(Controller)**


Penalty

**Flexibility Function
(Estimator)**

**Flexible User(s)
(Penalty-responsive)**

Response

Penalty Signals for: Cost Efficiency,
Emission Efficiency,
Energy Efficiency



Penalty (examples)

- **Real time CO₂.** If the real time (marginal) CO₂ emission related to the actual electricity production is used as penalty, then, a smart building will minimize the total carbon emission related to the power consumption. Hence, the building will be *emission efficient*.
- **Real time price.** If a real time price is used as penalty, the objective is obviously to minimize the total cost. Hence, the building is *cost efficient*.
- **Constant.** If a constant penalty is used, then, the controllers would simply minimize the total energy consumption. The smart building is, then, *energy efficient*.




Case study


Price-based Control of Power Consumption (Peak Shaving)



Aggregation (over 20 houses)




Response on Price Step Change




Control performance

Considerable reduction in peak consumption



Flexibility Function Examples



Flexibility Function Model

Flexibility Function Model (nonlinear version) describes the energy demand of a price-responsive systems as function of price and state of charge.

$$dX_t = \frac{1}{C}(D_t - B_t)dt + X_t(1 - X_t)\sigma_X dW_t$$

$$\delta_t = f(X_t; \alpha) + g(\lambda_{t-\tau}; \beta)$$

$$D_t = B_t + \delta_t \Delta (\mathbb{1}(\delta_t > 0)(1 - B_t) + \mathbb{1}(\delta_t < 0)B_t)$$

$$Y_t = D_t + \sigma_Y \epsilon_t$$

X = state of charge

B = demand (at constant price) / baseline


f(*) = Demand-SoC relationship

g(*) = Demand-Price relationship




Characterisation of Energy Flexibility

Non-linear Flexibility Function using SDE's



SE-OS Characteristics

- Relies on the Minimal Interoperability Mechanisms (MIMs) roadmap for a digital transformation of energy systems
- Flexibility Functions are used (as MIMs) to unlock flexibility at all scales
- Security and Privacy by design
- Data-driven digital twins
- Hierarchy of optimization and control problems
- Provides link between markets and the physics
- Combined Cloud, Fog, Edge based solutions
- Simple setup for the communication and contracts
- Facilitates energy systems integration (power, gas, thermal, ...)



Case Study:

DSO - Smart Grid Intelligence Models for Dynamic Transformer Rating






Figure 5.1: Operational framework for adaptive DSO smart grid operation. Turquoise lines indicate data flows and dotted lines indicate communication signals.

Sensor setup for transformers




Figure 5.2: Suggested final setup for the transformers, with temperature sensor (TS) and electronic measurement instruments (EMI).

Grey-box model for transformer stations






Figure 7: RC circuit of the three state model $T_iT_tT_b$.


Model performance; 6-hour predictions




(a)



(b)



(c)




(d)

Figure 11: Prediction analysis for 12 step ahead (6 hours) predictions. Subfigures (a)-(c) show predictions for TRF 1 using the one state model (a), extended two state model (b) and the final three state model (c). Subfigure (d) shows predictions for TRF 2 using the final three state model. Black line – observations, Blue line – predictions, Light blue area – 95% PI.

Dynamic Transformer Rating

- Relies on data-driven Digital Twins of the Transformer stations
- Gives good predictions of the hidden states (e.g., oil temperatures) more than 6h ahead
- DTR can reduce the risk of overloading
- The models can be used to predict failures of transformers
- Experiences show that transformers often can be overloaded (up to 120 pct) without any problem
- **Wind farms can be expanded up to 60 pct** without problems (since wind speed and wind power generation are highly correlated)




Case Study

CO2 and Cost Savings Summerhouses with a pool



Data-driven models for the buildings (Using lumped parameter models)



- Based on equivalent thermal parameters model

- Dynamics:

$$\frac{dT_{in}}{dt} = \frac{1}{C_{in}} [H_w(T_{out} - T_{in}) + Q_{in}]$$


$$\frac{dT_{out}}{dt} = \frac{1}{C_{out}} [H_w(T_{in} - T_{out}) + H_g(T_g - T_{out}) + H_a(T_a - T_{out})]$$

$$\frac{dT_a}{dt} = \frac{1}{C_a} [H_o(w)(T_o - T_a) + H_a(T_{out} - T_a) + H_{sh}(T_{sh} - T_a) + Q_s + Q_a]$$




Bidding Flexibility into Markets

- 4 hours intervals consisting of 30% of consumption with durations of 2 hours:




Bidding Flexibility into Markets

Solve $FF(\text{Price}) = \text{Bought Energy}$:



Summer house smart control: DSO-TSO Perspectives


- Considered BRP-case which lead to savings: approx. 30 pct
- Built-in DSO-TSO coordination in solving grid challenges
- Price signals important in balancing the distribution grids
- New dynamic and geographical tariffs can solve many of the issues in summer house areas
- New tariffs can take care of local energy systems
- We can use inverters as voltage stabilizing devices
- Automatic solutions targeting also small units



Example: CO2-based control (savings 10-30 pct)


D7811 Controller

Cost: co2intensity [g/kWh]



- me-5m / WaterTemperatureForward
- me-5m / AirTemperature
- pre / WaterTemperatureReturnMinLim
- pre / WaterTemperatureReturnMaxLim
- pre / WaterTemperatureReturn
- me-5m / WaterTemperatureReturn
- pre / WaterTemperatureSetpoint
- me-5m / WaterTemperatureSetpoint

Download





- pre-inp / CostPre
- co2intensity [g/kWh]
- pre / ValveState
- me-5m / ValveState

Download

Wind Power Forecasting for DSOs and TSO using Spatial Hierarchies




Wind Power Forecasting




(b) Illustration of the spatial hierarchy for DK1 with 407 individual conversion stations at the bottom level, 15 regions at the middle level, and the total of Western Denmark at the top.

Wind Power Forecasting in DK1 (improvements 20 pct)



Wind Power Forecasting Using API's developed at DTU




- Today our tools are used for operational forecasting of 25-30 pct of the worlds entire wind power production
- Large experiece in off-shore wind power forecasting

Case study


Wastewater Treatment (Joint work with Krüger/Veolia)




Flexibility Function



Energy Flexibility in Wastewater Treatment



Urban Water Cycle




Urban Water Cycle

- Water & Wastewater sector uses 1-5% of total electrical energy of a country
- Flexibility is found in all parts of the urban water cycle
- My focus has been on Wastewater treatment aeration (C)

Wastewater Treatment Plant

Predictive control of Water Resource Recovery Facilities



- Controls aeration by using a predictive model to optimize future control
- Manages requirements in the optimization
- Can use different inputs such as electricity prices and greenhouse gas emissions

Potential savings (Wastewater Treatment Plants)



Environment

- Reduce GHG emissions related to electricity use and process by 50%
- Improve effluent concentration by 10-20%



Costs

- Reduce electricity and taxation costs by 20%
- Reduce need for investments in grid and tuning of controls



Usability

- Operators will be trained and will seamlessly adapt to the new solutions
- Easy to adapt to new requirements



Implementation: Data Space for Spatial and Temporal Data



Trusted Data Sharing Platform

Data Exchange Facilities Market provide neutral (infrastructure and rules) mechanisms in the background for controlled, trusted and secure data transactions.

Participants accepting the market rules benefit from the exchange mechanisms and shape together an open market for data.



This is how we work together

Center Denmark, some employees and board members




Center Denmark, Vision



Vision: Center Denmark will accelerate the green transition towards 100 % renewable energy in DK through **digitalization** and **sector coupling** and thereby unlocking flexibilities and utilize digital opportunities at all levels across energy systems

Mission

- Utilize RE production capacity in full by demand response and avoid down-regulation of production
- Save investments in infrastructure / avoid bottlenecks in the grids by peak shaving
- Bring continuity into research and provide access for commercial use and market implementation
- Strengthen digital competences within energy industry supporting new digital business models




Services

- Trusted Data Sharing platform with 24/7 access to energy related data and digital tools – Bi-directional.
- Test and demonstration in representative and scalable settings
- Digital Innovation Hub (DIH) for knowledge transfer services (*Access point for commercial services*)
- Inkubator for digital business models aimed at new data driven services for the energy sector





Center Denmark Integrated Energy Systems Control Room and Data Space



Center Denmark

Infrastruktur til services på tværs af systemer



Uni-Lab.dk

Living Labs, Test Labs, ESI Pilots

uni-lab.dk

[Home](#)

[About Uni-lab.dk](#)

[Living Labs](#)

[Test Labs](#)

[Center Denmark](#)

[Join Uni-lab.dk](#) ▾

[Contact](#)



NOVASOL Living Lab: Holiday houses with pools

[Read More](#)



ProjectZero

[Read More](#)



RetailTechLab, Lyngby Storcenter, 2800 Kgs. Lyngby

[Read More](#)



SDU-OU44

[Read More](#)



TREFOR Power Grid Living Lab

[Read More](#)




Aalborg Forsyning Living Lab: District heating in Northern Jutland

[Read More](#)

Innovation Cycle

Living Labs, Data, Models, Solutions



Digital Energy Hub, Center Denmark



DigitalLead.




energy CLUSTER DENMARK



SDU



EU-TEF Power, Mobility and Communication



Expected Drivers for the Transition

- More green (and fluctuating) energy production
- Increased use of electricity everywhere
- Increased deployment of district heating/cooling and green gas
- Sector coupling and P2X
- Digitalization
- Flexibility everywhere (also at the Edge)
- Energy savings

Energy Taxes for the Future


- Taxes should be linked to physics
- Taxes should be linked to the actual CO2 emission (locally – temporal and spatial)
- Taxes should be the same for all energy sources
- Taxes should be the same for all types of consumption
- Taxes should hinder carbon leakage
- Taxes most contain a fixed part (energy efficiency) and a part proportional with the CO2 emission (flexibility)
- The total revenue can be maintained (it is a political decision)

Net Tariffs for the Future

- We need dynamic tariffs (spatial – temporal)
- Must be fair, transparent, safe and democratic
- Should be instrumental in solving net related issues
- Must be linked to physics
- Stability issues are extremely important
- Zero mean cost or non-zero mean cost depending on the issue

Summary

- An efficient implementation of the **future weather-driven** energy system calls for **data-driven Smart Energy Systems**
- We need **digitalization and IoT solutions** for enabling **low-level flexibility markets**
- **Minimum Interoperability Mechanisms (MIMs)** are building blocks for sector coupling and for implementing IoT solutions
- We need **transparent, safe, fair** and **democratic** solutions
- We have proposed to use **control-based methods** for **activating local flexibility (Smart-Energy OS)**
- **Savings:** Wastewater treatment 40 – 50 pct; summer houses: 20 – 30 pct



Summary

- We have described digitalization for **unlocking flexibility everywhere**
- We need **dynamic (temporal and spatial) tariffs** (and taxes)
- We need **data hubs** for energy related **streaming data** (like Center Denmark)
- We need a Business Ecosystem with **Trusted Data Sharing** in a ecosystem with ESI Pilots and Living Labs (like TEF CitCom.ai)
- We need **transparent, safe, fair** and **democratic** solutions
- **It must be easy**. Industry and house owners should be able to participate in **flexibility markets** without being subject to disproportionate technical requirements, procedures and charges
- We have indicated how to use **control-based methods for all type of grid services**
- Implemented at the **National Digitalization Hub, Center Denmark**

